# BROOKS, PIERCE, McLendon, Humphrey & Leonard, L.L.P.

### ATTORNEYS AT LAW

#### RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA

MAILING ADDRESS POST OFFICE BOX 1800 RALEIGH, N.C. 27602 OFFICE ADDRESS 1600 WACHOVIA CAPITOL CENTER 150 FAYETTEVILLE STREET RALEIGH, N.C. 27601

TELEPHONE (919) 839-0300 FACSIMILE (919) 839-0304

WWW.BROOKSPIERCE.COM

May 24, 2007

HENRY E. FRYE

J. LEE LLOYD SPECIAL COUNSEL

FOUNDED 1897

AUBREY L. BROOKS (1872-1958)
W.H. HOLDERNESS (1904-1965)
L.P. McLENDON (1890-1968)
KENNETH M. BRIM (1898-1974)
C.T. LEONARD, JR. (1928-1983)
CLAUDE C. PIERCE (1913-1988)
THORNTON H. BROOKS (1912-1988)
G. NEIL DANIELS (1911-1997)
HUBERT HUMPHREY (1928-2003)

GREENSBORO OFFICE 2000 RENAISSANCE PLAZA 230 NORTH ELM STREET GREENSBORO, N.C. 27401

WASHINGTON OFFICE 601 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 900, SOUTH BUILDING WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL

L.P. MCLENDON, JR.

EDGAR B. FISHER, JR.

JAMES T. WILLIAMS, JR.

JAMES T. WILLIAMS, JR.

MADE H. HARGROVE

M. DANIEL MCGINN

MICHAEL D. MEEKER

WILLIAM G. MCNAIRY

COUNTY

EDWARD C. WINSLOW III

ROWARD L. WILLIAMS

GEORGE W. HOUSE

WILLIAM P.H. CARY

REID L. PHILLIPS

CH.

ROBERT A. SINGER

JOHN H. SAMLL

RANDALL A. UNDERWOOD

S. LEIGH RODENBOUGH IV

MARK J. PRAK

JILL R. WILSON

JIL. R. WILSON

JIL. R. WILSON

MARC D. BISHOP

JIM. PHILLIPS, JR.

MACK SPERLING

JEFFREY E. OLEYNIK

MARK DAVIDSON

JOHN W. ORMAND III

ROBERT J. KING III

V. RANDALL TINSLEY

S. KYLE WOOSLEY

FORREST W. CAMPBELL, JR.

MARCUS W. TRATHEN

JEAN C. BROOKS

JAMES C. ADAMS II

ALLISON M. GRIMM

ELIZABETH S. BREWINGTON

H. ARTHUR BOLICK II

J. EDWIN TURLINGTON

PH.

JOHN M. CROSS. JR.

JENNIFER K. VAN ZANT
DAVID W. SAR
BRIAN J. McMILLAN
DAVID KUSHNER
DEREK J. ALLEN
CLINTON R. PINYAN
TERESA DELOATCH BRYANT
COE W. RAMSEY
ROBERT W. SAUNDERS
ELIZABETH V. LAFOLLETTE
GINGER S. SHIELDS
JENNIFER T. HARROD
CHARLES E. COBLE
CHARLES F. MARSHALL III
PATRICK J. JOHNSON
STEPHEN G. HARTZELL
JESSICA M. MARLIES
ANDREW J. HAILE
J. BENJAMIN DAVIS
CAROLINE ELIZABETH RITCHIE
SARA R. VIZITHUM
C. SCOTT MEYERS
JOHN S. BUFORD
NICOLE A. CRAWFORD
ALEXANDER ELKAN
KATHERINE J. CLAYTON
KATHLER A. GLEASON
SUSAN M. YOUNG
DARRELL A. FRUTH
IAIN MAGSWEN
BENJAMIN R. NORMAN
ELIZABETH E. SPAINHOUR
JENNIFER C. NOBLE
DAVID L. NEAL
SARAH ALL PHILLIPS
PHILLIP J. LONG
ANDREW T. TRIPP

### Via Hand Delivery

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 236 Massachusetts Avenue, S.E., Suite 110 Washington, D.C. 20002

Attn: Mary Turner

Investigations and Hearings Division Enforcement Bureau, Room 4-C330 Federal Communications Commission

Re: Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc.

File No. EB-06-IH-3414

Response to Letter of May 1, 2007

Dear Ms. Turner:

Please find enclosed, on behalf of Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc., licensee of Station KRON-TV, San Francisco, California, a Response to the May 1, 2007, Letter of Benigno E. Bartolome, including two VHS recordings of the broadcast material in question.

Marlene Dortch Attn: Mary Turner May 24, 2007 Page 2

If any questions should arise during the course of your consideration of this matter, please communicate with this office.

Sincerely,

Stephen Hartzell

Counsel to Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc.

# Enclosures

cc: Jennifer A. Lewis, Investigations and Hearings Division, EB Room 4-C330 Mary Turner, via email

Marlene Dortch Attn: Mary Turner May 24, 2007 Page 3

bcc:

Mark Antonitis

Jan Cross

Deb McDermott

Mark—please place a copy of the Response in the public file.

# Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	
Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc.	)	File No. EB-06-IH-3414
Licensee of Station KRON-TV,	)	
San Francisco, California	)	

# RESPONSE OF YOUNG BROADCASTING OF SAN FRANCISCO, INC. TO THE MAY 1, 2007, LETTER OF BENIGNO E. BARTOLOME

Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc. ("Young"), licensee of Station KRON-TV, San Francisco, California, by its attorneys, submits this response to the letter dated May 1, 2007, from Benigno E. Bartolome (the "Letter") in connection with the above-captioned matter.

On September 6, 2006, at approximately 11:26 p.m., near the end of the locally produced KRON 4 News at 11, the anchors engaged in "banter" that referred to certain other programs broadcast on the Station ("Material").

The Program Material is not actionable because it aired after 10:00 p.m., during the "safe harbor." See 47 C.F.R. § 73.3999(b). In addition, contrary to the Complainant's characterization, the Material was not "lewd" or "indecent." The Complaint is also inaccurate insofar as it describes the Material as having been "5 minutes" in duration and having aired during the "evening" news. The material referenced in the Complaint lasted less than 90 seconds at the conclusion of the KRON 4 News at 11, and this material did not air during KRON-TV's "evening" newscasts, which are the KRON 4 News at 4, KRON 4 News at 5, and KRON 4 News at 6.

This Response is supported by the Declaration of Mark Antonitis, President of Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc. and General Manager of KRON-TV, and the Declaration of Beth Thomas, Executive Assistant to Mark Antonitis, which are attached hereto. Two VHS tapes with a recording of the Material, including 15 minutes before and after, are being submitted with this Response. The program material on the VHS tapes is time-stamped at the top of the screen, showing the precise local time the material aired. As indicated on the recording, the segment at issue in the Complaint began at 23:25:58. Because the Material aired after 10:00 p.m., Young is not submitting a transcript of the Material but will make one available upon request by Commission Staff.<sup>1</sup>

Young respectfully submits that the broadcast of the material in question cannot be found to be actionable because it aired during the "safe harbor." Accordingly, Young respectfully requests that the Commission dismiss the Complaint.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> By telephone conference on May 15, Mr. Bartolome agreed that submission of a transcript is unnecessary in this case since the Material aired after 10:00 p.m.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Young also respectfully submits that, in any event, the material is not actionable because it is not indecent.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG BROADCASTING OF SAN FRANCISCO, INC.

Mark J. Prak

Stephen Hartzell

BROOKS, PIERCE, McLENDON, HUMPHREY & LEONARD, L.L.P. Wachovia Capitol Center, Suite 1600 150 Fayetteville Street (27601) Post Office Box 1800 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Telephone:

(919) 839-0300

Facsimile:

(919) 839-0304

Its Attorneys

May 25, 2007

# **Declaration of Mark Antonitis**

### **Declaration of Mark Antonitis**

- I, Mark Antonitis, hereby declare, under penalty of perjury, as follows:
- 1. I am greater than eighteen years of age and am competent to make this Declaration.
- 2. I am President of Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc., licensee of Station KRON-TV, San Francisco, California. I am also the General Manager of the Station. I have held both of these positions since July 20, 2004.
- 3. In making this Declaration, I am relying in part on the Declaration of Beth Thomas, who is my Executive Assistant. I did not personally review the entirety of the KRON News at 4, KRON 4 News at 5, KRON 4 News at 6, and KRON 4 News at 11 newscasts from September 6, 2006. However, I did review the program material at issue that aired toward the end of the KRON 4 News at 11 on September 6, 2006.
- 4. The Station possesses a recording of the KRON 4 News at 11 as broadcast by the Station on September 6, 2006, and two copies of that recording are being submitted with the Response of Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc. to the May 1, 2007, Letter of Benigno E. Bartolome. The recording consists of approximately 30 minutes of program material, which includes not only the anchor "banter" in question but also the 15 minutes before and after. The recording is time-stamped with the time of day, and it shows the material aired after 10:00 p.m. local time.
- 5. As the recording shows, the material in question aired after 10:00 p.m. The recording also demonstrates that the material, on its face, was not indecent.
- 6. I have reviewed the Response of Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc. to the May 1, 2007, Letter of Benigno E. Bartolome and hereby verify the truth and accuracy of the information contained therein. All of the documents and information requested by the letter of inquiry that are in the licensee's possession, custody, control, or knowledge have been produced to the Commission.

[Signature appears on following page.]

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing Declaration is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

5/22/07 Date

Mark Antonitis

President of Young Broadcasting

of San Francisco, Inc.

General Manager of Station KRON-TV

# **Declaration of Beth Thomas**

### **Declaration of Beth Thomas**

- I, Beth Thomas, hereby declare, under penalty of perjury, as follows:
- 1. I am greater than eighteen years of age and am competent to make this Declaration.
- 2. I am Executive Assistant to Mark Antonitis, President of Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc. I have been employed at KRON-TV since February 17, 1987, and I have been Executive Assistant to Mr. Antonitis since July 20, 2004.
- 3. I have reviewed the Complaint filed against KRON-TV for program material allegedly aired on the "evening news" on September 6, 2006. I personally reviewed recordings of the KRON 4 News at 4, KRON 4 News at 5, KRON 4 News at 6, and KRON 4 News at 11 that aired September 6, 2006. Only the KRON 4 News at 11 contained the material described in the Complaint. The KRON 4 News at 11 airs at 11 p.m. local time.
- 4. I have reviewed the Response of Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc. to the May 1, 2007, Letter of Benigno E. Bartolome and hereby verify the truth and accuracy of the information contained therein.

[Signature appears on following page.]

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing Declaration is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

5/22/07

Date

Beth Thomas

Executive Assistant to Mark Antonitis

# COMMUNICATIONS STATES

### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Enforcement Bureau Investigations and Hearings Division 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Suite 4-C330 Washington, D.C. 20554

MAY -1 2007

# <u>VIA CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED</u> <u>AND FACSIMILE AT FASCIMILE (415) 561-8142</u>

Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc. c/o Brooks, Pierce, et al. 1001 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, California 94109-6913

Re:

Station KRON-TV, San Francisco, California

File No. EB-06-IH-3414

### Dear Licensee:

The Enforcement Bureau is investigating allegations contained in the enclosed redacted complaint (the "Complaint") that Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc. (the "Licensee") broadcast prohibited material over the above-referenced station (the "Station"), in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. § 1464 and Section 73.3999 of the Commission's rules. Specifically, it is alleged that indecent material was aired during the Station's broadcast of the "Evening News" on September 6, 2006, at an unspecified time. Instructions and Definitions are contained in the attachment to this letter. We direct the Licensee, pursuant to Sections 4(i), 4(j), 308(b) and 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, to provide the information and Documents, as defined herein, within thirty (30) calendar days from the date of this letter.

Unless otherwise indicated, the period of time covered by these inquiries is September 6, 2006, to the present.

<sup>1</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 73.3999.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), 308(b), and 403.

### Inquiries: Documents and Information to be Provided

 State whether the Licensee broadcast any or all of the material described in the Complaint over the Station on September 6, 2006, at an unspecified time. Provide a recording of the broadcast on DVD or a VHS videocassette tape (two copies), including the complained-of material plus the 15 minutes aired before and after it, and a written transcript of the recording.

# **Instructions for Filing Responses**

We direct the Licensee to support its responses with an affidavit or declaration under penalty of perjury, signed and dated by an authorized officer of the Licensee with personal knowledge of the representations provided in the Licensee's response, verifying the truth and accuracy of the information therein and that all of the information and/or recordings requested by this letter which are in the Licensee's possession, custody, control or knowledge have been produced. If multiple Licensee employees contribute to the response, in addition to such general affidavit or declaration of the authorized officer of the Licensee noted above, if such officer (or any other affiant or declarant) is relying on the personal knowledge of any other individual, rather than his or her own knowledge, provide separate affidavits or declarations of each such individual with personal knowledge that identify clearly to which responses the affiant or declarant with such personal knowledge is attesting. All such declarations provided must comply with Section 1.16 of the Commission's rules,<sup>3</sup> and be substantially in the form set forth therein.

To knowingly and willfully make any false statement or conceal any material fact in reply to this inquiry is punishable by fine or imprisonment.<sup>4</sup> Failure to respond appropriately to this letter of inquiry may constitute a violation of the Communications Act and our rules.<sup>5</sup>

The Licensee shall direct its response, if sent by messenger or hand delivery, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 236 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E., Suite 110, Washington, D.C. 20002, to the attention of Mary Turner, Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, Room 4-C330, with a copy to Jennifer A. Lewis, Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, Room 4-C330, Federal Communications Commission. If sent by commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) the response should be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 1.16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See 18 U.S.C. § 1001; see also 47 C.F.R. § 1.17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See SBC Communications, Inc., Forfeiture Order, 17 FCC Rcd 7589 (2002); Globcom, Inc., Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture and Order, 18 FCC Rcd 19893, n. 36 (2003); World Communications Satellite Systems, Inc., Forfeiture Order, 19 FCC Rcd 2718 (Enf. Bur. 2004); Donald W. Kaminski, Jr., Forfeiture Order, 18 FCC Rcd 26065 (Enf. Bur. 2003).

Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc. Page 3

sent to the Federal Communications Commission, 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, Maryland 20743. If sent by first-class, Express, or Priority mail, the response should be sent to Mary Turner, Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Room 4-C330, Washington, D.C. 20554, with a copy to Jennifer A. Lewis, Investigations and Hearings Division, Enforcement Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Room 4-C330, Washington, D.C. 20554. The Licensee shall also, to the extent practicable, transmit a copy of the response via email to Mary.Turner@fcc.gov and to Jennifer.Lewis@fcc.gov.

Sincerely,

Benigno E. Bartolome

Deputy Chief

Investigations and Hearings Division

Enforcement Bureau

Attachment

Enclosure

### Attachment

# **Instructions**

Request for Confidential Treatment. If the Licensee requests that any information or Documents responsive to this letter be treated in a confidential manner, it shall submit, along with all responsive information and Documents, a statement in accordance with Section 0.459 of the Commission's rules. Requests for confidential treatment must comply with the requirements of Section 0.459, including the standards of specificity mandated by Section 0.459(b). Accordingly, "blanket" requests for confidentiality of a large set of Documents are unacceptable. Pursuant to Section 0.459(c), the Bureau will not consider requests that do not comply with the requirements of Section 0.459.

Claims of Privilege. If the Licensee withholds any information or Documents under claim of privilege, it shall submit, together with any claim of privilege, a schedule of the items withheld that states, individually as to each such item, the numbered inquiry to which each item responds and the type, title, specific subject matter, and date of the item; the names, addresses, positions, and organizations of all authors and recipients of the item; and the specific ground(s) for claiming that the item is privileged.

Method of Producing Documents. Each requested Document, as defined herein, shall be submitted in its entirety, even if only a portion of that Document is responsive to an inquiry made herein, unless the Document is a recording or transcript, in which case it should be provided only for the period of time of the broadcast specified in the pertinent inquiry herein. This means that the Document shall not be edited, cut, or expunged, and shall include all appendices, tables, or other attachments, and all other Documents referred to in the Document or attachments. All written materials necessary to understand any Document responsive to these inquiries must also be submitted.

Identification of Documents. For each Document or statement submitted in response to the inquiries below, indicate, by number, to which inquiry it is responsive and identify the person(s) from whose files the Document was retrieved. If any Document is not dated, state the date on which it was prepared. If any Document does not identify its author(s) or recipient(s), state, if known, the name(s) of the author(s) or recipient(s). The Licensee must identify with reasonable specificity all Documents provided in response to these inquiries.

Documents No Longer Available. If a Document responsive to any inquiry made herein existed but is no longer available, or if the Licensee is unable for any reason to produce a Document responsive to any inquiry, identify each such Document by author, recipient, date, title, and specific subject matter, and explain fully why the Document is no longer available or why the Licensee is otherwise unable to produce it.

<sup>6</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 0.459.

Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc. Page 5

Retention of Original Documents. With respect only to Documents responsive to the specific inquiries made herein and any other Documents relevant to those inquiries, the Licensee is directed to retain the originals of those Documents for twelve (12) months from the date of this letter unless (a) the Licensee is directed or informed by the Enforcement Bureau in writing to retain such Documents for some shorter or longer period of time or (b) the Enforcement Bureau or the Commission releases an item on the subject of this investigation, including, but not limited to, a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture or an order disposing of the issues in the investigation, in which case, the Licensee must retain all such Documents until the matter has been finally concluded by payment of any monetary penalty, satisfaction of all conditions, expiration of all possible appeals, conclusion of any collection action brought by the United States Department of Justice or execution and implementation of a final settlement with the Commission or the Enforcement Bureau.

Continuing Nature of Inquiries. The specific inquiries made herein are continuing in nature. The Licensee is required to produce in the future any and all Documents and information that are responsive to the inquiries made herein but not initially produced at the time, date and place specified herein. In this regard, the Licensee must supplement its responses (a) if the Licensee learns that, in some material respect, the Documents and information initially disclosed were incomplete or incorrect or (b) if additional responsive Documents or information are acquired by or become known to the Licensee after the initial production. The requirement to update the record will continue for twelve (12) months from the date of this letter unless (1) the Licensee is directed or informed by the Enforcement Bureau in writing that the Licensee's obligation to update the record will continue for some shorter or longer period of time or (2) the Enforcement Bureau or the Commission releases an item on the subject of this investigation, including, but not limited to, a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture or an order disposing of the issues in the investigation, in which case the obligation to update the record will continue until the release of such item.

# **Definitions**

For purposes of this letter, the following definitions apply:

"Any" shall be construed to include the word "all," and the word "all" shall be construed to include the word "any." Additionally, the word "or" shall be construed to include the word "and," and the word "and" shall be construed to include the word "or." The word "each" shall be construed to include the word "every," and the word "every" shall be construed to include the word "each."

"Broadcast," when used as noun, shall mean audible sounds or language transmitted or disseminated over a station during the course of a radio or television broadcast. Young Broadcasting of San Francisco, Inc. Page 6

"Broadcast," when used as a verb, shall mean the transmission or dissemination of radio or television communications intended to be received by the public. The verb "broadcast" may be used interchangeably with the verb "air."

"Document" shall mean the complete original (or in lieu thereof, exact copies of the original) and any non-identical copy (whether different from the original because of notations on the copy or otherwise), regardless of origin or location, of any taped, recorded, transcribed, written, typed, printed, filmed, punched, computer-stored, or graphic matter of every type and description, however and by whomever prepared, produced, disseminated, or made, including but not limited to any broadcast, radio program, advertisement, book, pamphlet, periodical, contract, correspondence, letter, facsimile, e-mail, file, invoice, memorandum, note, telegram, report, record, handwritten note, working paper, routing slip, chart, graph, photograph, paper, index, map, tabulation, manual, guide, outline, script, abstract, history, calendar, diary, agenda, minute, marketing plan, research paper, preliminary drafts, or versions of all of the above, and computer material (print-outs, cards, magnetic or electronic tape, disks and such codes or instructions as will transform such computer materials into easily understandable form).

E	18-06-IH-3414
	Congressional Complaint C

IC Number:	06-WB12193451	Case Type:	Complaint
Date Received:	09/07/2006	Complainant:	
Date Entered:	09/07/2006	Date Assigned:	09/10/2006
Entered By:	FCC_NOTES01	Date Reassigned:	
Assigned To:		Service Date:	Referral
Date Closed:	09/21/2006	Response Date:	
Closed By:		Original Analyst:	
Close Letter Needed?	C Yes O No	Purged By:	Purged Date:
		Removed By:	Removed Date:
Supervisor Check:	O Yes No	Indecency Referral Code:	

Current Status: Closed

Complaint Type:Broadcast

### Associated Case:

Complaint Summary:

During the end of the evening news broadcast, one of the news casters spent around 5 minutes discussing sexual acts related related to the programming on their network and he also made lewd and indecent remarks about the women who acted on the program and their attire. He then went on to describe an idea for other programming developed by a female (according to him - not sure if this was accurate or a joke). He kept on the vulgarities until the end of the broadcast. He also told the female anchor to lighten up because it was 2006 - apparently in reaction to how uncomfortable she looked while trying not to react to all of his comments. ; This is indecent for a news cast. These editorial comments were offensive and in poor taste. While some news magazine shows may push the envelope, the regular local news should not allow this type of behavior on air. This network allowed this mini-rant to go on until the end of the show. They could have changed the subject or cut off these comments, but they did not.

	ow. They could have	Changed the subject of cut on these	and at Marting to a
Apparent Carrier(s):			AL PLANTING STREET
Ven Chark here H vo	wish to serve both a	Wireline and Wireless carrier.	
	B4 25 2	2 100 - 31	
Problem Number:			
Title: None First Na	me:	Middle Initial:	Last Name:
Contact Name:		Best Time to Call:	
Contact Number: Ext.	10	Consumer's Telephone Number:	Ext.
Fax Number:		TTY Number:	
Emall Address:		Internet Address:	
PO Box:		Address:	Zin
City:		State:	Zip:

On Behalf Of:		
Company Name:	- I II II II Darbu	
Party's Name:	Relationship with the Party:	
Party's Contact Number: Ext.	PO Box:	
	Address:	
	City:State:Zip:	
Other Party that can be contacted?		

Name:	[1	Relationship:	
Contact Number: Ext.		Address:	
Contact Name of Line		City:, State: Zip:	
**Amount of credit FCC effort g	enerated: I	Duplicate Credit Checked: Yes	No
Have you paid any of the disputed Did the company billing for these	I charges?	r all of the disputed charges?	
		, and on the disperse	3/
If yes, what was the amount of the a. Name of carrier(s) or company	(ies) involved in your complaint:	Direct TV	
b. Telephone number for the carri	er(s) or company(ies) involved		
with your complaint, including are	a code:	Phone: Ext:	
<ul> <li>c. Which type of service is involved</li> </ul>	d with your complaint:		
	TCPA Informa		F. 4
1. the telephone number of the in-			Ext:
2. your telephone number(s) on w	hich the call or fax was received	l:	Ext:
3 a description of the telemarketi	ng call, pre-recorded message, on whose products or services were	or unsolicited fax, including an	
4 the "opt-out" number(s) provide	ed in the call(s) or on the fax(es):		
(List number(s) given in the cal	I(s) or fax(es) for you to contact	if you do not want to receive any	Ext:
5. Have your (a) purchased anyth	ing from the company being adv	ertised in the call or fax;	
(b) made an inquiry or applicat	ion to that company; or (c) given e describe and state when you h	consent to the company to send had such contact with the company.	
	Broadcast Inform	nation from 475B	
(1) Date of Program:	September 6, 2006		
(2) Time of Program:	News		
<ul><li>(3) Network:</li><li>(4) Call Sign, Channel OR Freque</li></ul>	unknown	viewed/heard the material:	KRON 4
(5) City and State Where Program	n Was Viewed:		San Francisco, CA
(6) Name of Program or DJ/Perso	onality/Song/Film:		KRON4 evening news
Updated? Yes No			
ANALYSIS SECTION			
Correspondence Type:	Complaint O Inquiry	Source Code:	Internet
Apparent Carrier(s):		Re-Serve Carrier(s):	<b>±</b>
Responding Carrier(s):		Assigned Subject Code:	Programming Issue:
		Program Type:	<b>⊉</b> TV
Activity Code:	Direct	Assigned Code Acronym:	PROG
Final Responsible Party:		Sub-Category:	Content Criticism (PCCR)
Copy of Response Sent to Consumer by Carrier?:	○ Yes ○ No		
Mediation with Carrier/Complainant?:	O Yes O No	Response Type:	